### Fiscal Estimate - 2007 Session

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated		Correct	ed		Supple	emental
LRB	Number	07-1042/1		Intro	duction	Numb	er A	B-005	9
			s on highways fo	r the purp	ose of ce	rtain types	s of acco	ess and	granting
Fiscal	Effect								
	No State Fisco Indeterminate Increase Appropria Decrease Appropria Create Ne	e Existing tions Existing	Revenue Decreas Revenue	e Existing	e e	to abso	rb withir ]]Yes	n agency	e possible 's budget \tilde{\t
	No Local Go Indeterminat 1. Increas Permiss 2. Decrea	e Costs sive∭Mandato	3.  Increase ory Permissi 4.  Decreas	ve Ma e Revenu	e ndatory ue	5.Types of Governn A Tow Oou Distr	nent Un ns [2 nties [2 ool [	its Affect ☑ Village ☑ Others ☑ WTCS ☐ District	Cities
Fund S	Sources Affo	F	PRS 🛛 SEC	G 🔲 SI		ected Ch. 370(3)(as)	20 Арр	ropriatio	ons
Agend	y/Prepared	Ву	Au	thorized	Signatur	е			Date
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe P				Polasek (608) 266-2794					3/21/2007

# Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 3/22/2007

LRB Number <b>07-1042/1</b>	Introduction Number	AB-0059	Estimate Type	Original				
<b>Description</b> The operation of all-terrain vehicles on highways for the purpose of certain types of access and granting rule-making authority								

#### **Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate**

Summary: This bill authorizes a county, city, town, or village (municipality) to enact ordinance(s) allowing persons to operate an all-terrain-vehicle (ATV) on a portion of a roadway or shoulder of a highway for purposes of residential access to or from an ATV route or trail or for access to an ATV route or trail to or from lodging establishments. On state trunk highways, municipalities must receive approval from the DOT for ATV operation on distances longer than 1/4 mile. For other highways, municipalities may allow ATVs to travel a distance of not more than 5 miles on highways within their jurisdictions to travel between ATV routes and trails and residences or places of lodging. The bill further provides that a municipality may not enact an ordinance allowing ATV access on any highway that is located in a state park or state forest if the operation of ATVs is prohibited in the park or forest. This bill directs the Department and the off-road vehicle council to jointly prepare model ordinances as examples of ordinances that a municipality may enact.

Fiscal Effect: Based on the hundreds of municipalities that have enacted ordinances regulating ATVs on ATV trails and routes designated by municipalities, the Department assumes that a similar number of municipalities may enact the ordinances authorized by this bill, thereby significantly increasing ATV enforcement workload throughout the state for the Department and for the state patrol and local law enforcement officers.

The DNR is currently authorized 9.0 FTE wardens for ATV regulation and enforcement. ATV wardens provide oversight to counties currently receiving ATV enforcement aids, and provide training for local government ATV law enforcement programs. This effort is currently focused on counties that have enacted ATV ordinances and ATV trails. Under current law, ATV use is confined to recognized ATV trails, and the Department is viewed as having primary ATV enforcement duties in many areas of the state. Consequently, local law enforcement has played a minimal role in ATV enforcement in many areas of the state.

Given that this bill allows municipalities to authorize ATV use on portions of highways, streets, and roads where such use is not currently authorized and where the Department may have limited enforcement presence, the Department assumes that the role of local law enforcement and the state patrol in ATV enforcement would increase significantly as a result of this bill. The extent to which local law enforcement efforts would increase would depend upon a number of factors, including the miles of roadway on which ATV use would be newly authorized, and the amount of ATV traffic and user conflicts on those roads.

The Department has no reliable means to estimate the extent of the fiscal impact on the state patrol and municipalities given the many variables involved and therefore labels the increase "indeterminate." However, given the potential number of municipalities that may enact an ordinance allowing ATV use on hundreds of miles of roadway where ATV use is not now allowed, the impact could be significant. The Department also assumes that municipalities will incur costs related to posting additional signage, and installing additional gates and rock barriers to prevent illegal ATV use on public lands adjacent to roadways open to ATV traffic under the ordinances referenced in the bill. Additionally, the Department assumes that municipalities will incur costs related to ATV damage to public roadways and areas adjacent to roadways including drainage ditches, culverts, driveways, roadside turf, gravel shoulders, pavement edges, railroad right of ways, bridges, utility lines, utility poles and shorelines of waterways due to legal and illegal ATV operation.

Although the Department assumes that the primary enforcement workload related to the increased ATV traffic resulting from this bill would fall to municipal law enforcement and the state patrol, the Department'a workload will also increase related to field enforcement, complaint responses, training municipal law enforcement officers, and investigating fatal ATV accidents. The Department assumes that its ATV enforcement and training workload would increase by approximately 30 hours annually for each of 208 credentialed wardens for a total of 6,240 hours, or in excess of 3.0 FTE. Assuming an average warden salary and fringe costs of \$79,000 per warden, and annual supplies expenditures of \$4,000 per warden, the

Department estimates the annualized fiscal impact at \$249,000 [\$83,000 x 3 FTE].

In addition, the Department will incur one-time expenses related to: 1) additional equipment such as speed detection equipment with dash mounts for warden trucks, dash mounted video cameras, sound level meters to assist with enforcement of ATV laws on roadways as well as citizen complaints; and 2) additional training costs including radar certification and roadway traffic accident reconstruction. The Department assumes these additional costs supply and training costs will total \$20,000 to \$30,000. Additionally, the Department assumes that it will incur one-time staffing costs associated with drafting the model ordinances totaling \$1,600.

#### **Long-Range Fiscal Implications**

None.

## Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2007 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

$\boxtimes$	Original		Updated			Corrected			Supplemental	
LRE	3 Number	07-1042	/1	,	Intro	duction N	lumbe	er /	AB-0059	
The o	<b>cription</b> operation of all ting rule-makin		les on highw	vays fo	or the pu	urpose of ce	rtain typ	es of	access and	
annu	ne-time Costs ualized fiscal o pment, training	effect):					ernmen	t (do	not include in	
II. Ar	nnualized Cos	sts:				Annualized	l Fiscal	Impa	ct on funds from:	
						Increased C	osts		Decreased Costs	
A. St	tate Costs by	Category								
Sta	ate Operations	- Salaries an	nd Fringes		\$237,000				\$	
(F	TE Position Ch	nanges)				(3.0 F				
Sta	ate Operations	- Other Cost	S		12,000					
Lo	cal Assistance	<u>}</u>								
	ds to Individual									
Ш	TOTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory			\$249	,000		\$	
B. St	tate Costs by	Source of Fu	unds							
GF	PR									
FE										
	RO/PRS									
SE	EG/SEG-S					249	,000			
	tate Revenues nues (e.g., tax					ts.)		ecrea	se state	
						Increased			Decreased Rev	
	PR Taxes				\$			_	\$	
	PR Earned									
FE	.D									
	RO/PRS								alli dirakti antis a	
	EG/SEG-S			$\dashv$						
<u>ll</u>	TOTAL State						\$	\$		
			NET ANNUA	ALIZE	) FISC					
							State	Loca		
	NET CHANGE IN COSTS					\$249,		\$		
NET	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE					\$		\$	
				_					_	
Agency/Prepared By Aut					thorized Signature				Date	
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 Joe				Joe F	e Polasek (608) 266-2794				3/21/2007	